

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/779,767	02/18/2004	Jung-wan Ko	1293.1071DDC	1606	
49455 STEIN MCEV	7590 05/13/200 VEN LLP	9	EXAMINER		
1400 EYE STI			CHU, KIM KWOK		
SUITE 300 WASHINGTO	N DC 20005		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	11, 20 2000		2627		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/13/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/779,767	KO ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kim-Kwok CHU	2627	

	Kim-Kwok CHU	2627	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 06 April 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI	ICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LOWANCE.	
 M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following in application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	the same day as filing a Notice of a eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ar no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (i	ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of		26(a) and the engropriet	o outonaion foo
Laterisations of white many De business under 37 or 11, 130(4). The days have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (c) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on . A brief in compl	iance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be t	iled within two months	s of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below 	sideration and/or search (see NOT		cause
(c) ☑ They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or		lucing or simplifying t	ne issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c		ected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.11			
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 			
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be alleann-allowable claim(s).		•	
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) in how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) objected to: <u>17 and 19</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-5,7-16 and 20</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	hafaa aa aa dha data af Stan a Na		ha antonia
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	sufficient reasons why the affidavi	t or other evidence is	necessary and
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing and entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	I and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 		condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/HOA T NGUYEN/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2627			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 3 NOTE:

In Claim 13, the amended feature "in a single recording operation" requires further consideration and search. Although this amended feature is intended to replace the deleted phrase "at the same time", their meanings are not it dentical. For example, a single recording operation can be a plurality of recording actions not at the same time. Furthermore, Applicant does not mention whether or not this amendment is supported by the specification.

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Regarding Claims 1 and 13, Applicant states that the prior art (U.S. Patent 6,288,989) of Ro's password does not prevent overwriting without reference to the title of the program and the position data (page 8 of the Remarks, lines 1 and 2). Accordingly, Applicant only claims the "withe protection information" is for prohibiting writing of data on the recording medium (Claim 1, lines 6 and 7), and there is no additional limitation such as "prohibiting writing of data without reference to the title of the program and position data" or similar feature to restrict the claimed "write protection information" does not require any position data on the recording medium. In other words, Applicant's argument exceeds the boundary of his claim limitations.

Regarding to Claims 8 and 9, Applicant states that the prior ant (U.S. Patent 5,644,444) of Braithwaite et al. does not teach a finalization of the Lead-un tare (legae 8 of the Remarks, last paragraph). Accordingly, when the prior art of Braithwaite sets a protection flag on his recording disk (Fig. 4), one of the Lead-in 66 and Lead-out area 64 area (special information tracks; column 4, lines 61 and 62) is updated/finalized so that it cannot be read/withe (Fig. 64); steps 104 and 110).

Applicant also argues that the prior at of Braithwaite's updating operation on the special information tracks is not a finalization process because the special information (protection flag) can be changed (page 9, lines 3-56). Accordingly, Applicantly protection state information is selectable (Claim 8, lines 7 and 8). In other words, in Claim 8, Applicant does not claim that "after the finalization, the Lead-in and Lead-out rease cannot be channed".

Examiner: /Kim-Kwok CHU/

(571) 272-7585